

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 01114 01 OF 03 211837Z

53

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 IO-11 SAJ-01 ACDA-05 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01

SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 OMB-01 EB-07 /084 W

----- 080181

R 211826Z JAN 76

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5854

INFO USMISSION USBERLIN

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 03 BONN 01114

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, GW, GE, US, UK, FR, UR

SUBJECT: INNER-GERMAN BOUNDARY -- QUADRIpartite  
RESPONSIBILITIES

SUMMARY: AS MARKING OF INNER-GERMAN BOUNDARY BY FRG-GDR BORDER COMMISSION NEARS COMPLETION, AN OPPOSITION BUNDESTAG MEMBER HAS CALLED FOR QUADRIpartite APPROVAL OF WORK OF COMMISSION. STATED OBJECTIVE OF DEMAND IS TO ENSURE THAT THE FOUR RETAIN FINAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR BORDERS OF GERMANY AND THUS TO MAINTAIN PROVISIONAL NATURE OF THE INNER GERMAN BOUNDARY. THE SCHMIDT GOVERNMENT, PARTICULARLY WARY OF GIVING THE OPPOSITION AN ISSUE IN AN ELECTION YEAR, IS REPORTEDLY NOW STUDYING THE MATTER AND IT IS POSSIBLE THAT BONN MAY ASK THE ALLIES TO BECOME INVOLVED. IF SUCH A REQUEST WERE MADE, WE BELIEVE WE SHOULD BE IN A POSITION TO RESPOND QUICKLY, BUT AT MOST WITH A TRIpartite STATEMENT ALONG LINES THAT QUADRIpartite RESPONSIBILITIES ARE INDEED INVOLVED IN INNER-GERMAN BOUNDARY, BUT THAT WORK OF  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 01114 01 OF 03 211837Z

BORDER COMMISSION HAS NOT AFFECTED THEM. REQUEST

GUIDANCE. END SUMMARY.

1. APPARENTLY RESPONDING TO INFORMATION THAT THE FRG-GDR BORDER COMMISSION (BC) HAS REACHED AGREEMENT ON MARKING OF OVER 90 PER CENT OF THE INNER-GERMAN BOUNDARY (IGB), CDU BUNDESTAG MEMBER ALOIS MERTES HAS DEMANDED THAT AGREEMENT OF THE BIG FOUR BE GIVEN TO THE RESULTS OF THE BC'S WORK. (IT WILL BE RECALLED THAT THE COMMISSION WAS ESTABLISHED BY PROTOCOL NOTE 1 TO THE FRG/GDR TREATY ON THE BASIS OF RELATIONS FOR THE PURPOSES -- AS SPELLED OUT IN THE PROTOCOL NOTE AND IN A JOINT STATEMENT -- OF MARKING THE IGB AS DETERMINED BY THE LONDON PROTOCOL AND SUBSEQUENT ARRANGEMENTS OF THE OCCUPYING POWERS AND OF WORKING TO ACHIEVE PRACTICAL RESOLUTIONS TO "INCONVENIENCES" CAUSED BY THE EXISTING COURSE OF THE BOUNDARY.)

2. IN A LONG ARTICLE RELEASED JANUARY 13 BY THE CDU/CSU PRESS SERVICE, MERTES SAYS THE LEGAL QUALITY OF THE IGB IS AN EXPRESSION OF QRRS OF THE FOUR POWERS FOR GERMANY AS A WHOLE. THIS EXPRESSION OF QRRS AFFIRMS THE PROVISIONAL NATURE OF THE DIVISION OF GERMANY. THIS SITUATION MUST NOT BE BROUGHT INTO QUESTION BY ACTS OF THE BC (OR OF THE FRG AS A RESULT OF THE COMMISSION'S WORK). BONN MUST THEREFORE OBTAIN THE AGREEMENT OF THE FOUR POWERS TO THE BC'S WORK. MERTES BOLSTERS HIS ARGUMENT BY RECALLING THAT THE JULY 31, 1973 DECISION OF THE FRG FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL COURT (FCC) IN THE CASE RE THE TREATY ON THE BASIS OF RELATIONS STATES THAT NO CONSTITUTIONAL ORGANS OF THE FRG MAY GIVE UP THE REUNIFICATION OF GERMANY AS A POLITICAL GOAL AND THAT ALL OF THESE ORGANS ARE DUTY BOUND TO WORK FOR THIS GOAL. MERTES CLOSES BY SAYING THE OPPOSITION WILL BE CONSIDERING WHAT LEGAL STEPS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PROTECT GERMAN INTERESTS IN THIS MATTER.

3. FRENCH BONN GROUP REP (BOISSIEU) HAS INFORMED US AND BRITISH THAT FRG REP (LUECKING) TOLD HIM THAT CHANCELLOR SCHMIDT HAS ISSUED FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS AS RESULT OF MERTES ARTICLE AND PRESS PLAY WHICH ENSUED:  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 01114 01 OF 03 211837Z

-- A) FRG DELEGATION IN BORDER COMMISSION WILL LIMIT FURTHER WORK TO COMPLETION OF MARKING OF LAND BOUNDARY AND WILL NOT CONTINUE EFFORTS ON ELBE PROBLEM (SEE OFFICIAL-INFORMAL HUGHES-RUECKERT LETTER OF FEB. 27, 1975);

-- B) IF GDR PRESSES FOR CONTINUATION OF

NEGOTIATIONS ON ELBE BOUNDARY, HOWEVER, BONN WILL  
RECONSIDER; AND

-- C) THE FOREIGN OFFICE MUST STUDY ON URGENT  
BASIS THE LEGAL SITUATION ON THE IGB AND RE THE  
POSSIBILITIES OF QUADRIpartite OR TRIpartite INVOLVEMENT.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 01114 02 OF 03 211838Z

53  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 IO-11 SAJ-01 ACDA-05 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01

SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 OMB-01 EB-07 /084 W  
----- 080226

R 211826Z JAN 76  
FM AMEMBASSY BONN  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5855  
INFO USMISSION USBERLIN  
USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS  
AMEMBASSY BERLIN  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMEMBASSY PARIS  
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 02 OF 03 BONN 01114

4. BOISSIEU SAID ALLIES HAD NOT YET BEEN ASKED ANYTHING  
BY FRG AND THEREFORE NEED NOT SAY ANYTHING NOW.  
HOWEVER, HE THOUGHT WE MIGHT BE APPROACHED BY FRG AND  
MUST THEREFORE BE PREPARED. BOISSIEU SAW THE ALLIED  
POSITION AS FOLLOWS:

-- A) NOT REALISTIC TO EXPECT SOVIET INVOLVEMENT  
IN MATTER;

--B) EVEN IF ALLIES NOT WISH TO BECOME INVOLVED WE  
MAY COME UNDER FRG PRESSURE AT LEAST TO DO SO  
INDIRECTLY, FOR EXAMPLE BY ALLOWING FRG TO MAKE STATE-  
MENT RE ALLIED POSITION; AND

-- C) IT PERHAPS NOT ADVISABLE TO AVOID INVOLVE-  
MENT IF ASKED SINCE MATTER DOES INVOLVE ALLIED RESERVED  
RIGHTS (ARTICLE 1 OF THE 1954 PARIS CONVENTION STATES:  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 01114 02 OF 03 211838Z

"LEGISLATION BY WHICH THE PROVISIONAL BOUNDARIES OF THE  
FEDERAL REPUBLIC HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED, OR WHICH IS  
REQUIRED TO BE MAINTAINED IN FORCE BY OTHER PROVISIONS  
OF THE CONVENTION ON RELATIONS BETWEEN THE THREE POWERS  
AND THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY OR ANY OF THE  
RELATED CONVENTIONS, MAY ONLY BE AMENDED OR REPEALED  
WITH THE CONSENT OF THE THREE POWERS.").

5. BOISSIEU PROPOSED FOLLOWING AS ALLIED LINE AND  
SUGGESTED WE ALL REQUEST GUIDANCE:

-- A) ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES FOR  
FRONTIERS OF GERMANY REMAIN;

-- B) CERTAIN COMPETENCIES HAVE BEEN DELEGATED  
AND ARE BEING EXERCISED BY THE BORDER COMMISSION;

-- C) THE WORK OF THE BC, AS STATED IN THE  
PROTOCOL NOTE TO THE FRG-GDR TREATY AND IN THE FRG-GDR  
JOINT STATEMENT, IS ONLY TO MARK THE BORDER ON BASIS OF  
QUADRIPARTITE AGREEMENTS. NEITHER QRR NOR THE  
SETTLEMENTS CONVENTION ARE THEREFORE AFFECTED; AND

-- D) ALLIED (OR QUADRIPARTITE) INVOLVEMENT RE  
RESULTS OF BC'S WORK IS THUS NOT REQUIRED.

6. AFTER DISCUSSION OF MATTER, TRIPARTITE REPS AGREED  
GENERALLY ON FOLLOWING:

-- A) IT COULD BE EXPECTED THAT BONN WILL INDEED  
TRY TO SOFT-PEDAL BORDER COMMISSION WORK, ESPECIALLY  
VERY DIFFICULT PROBLEM OF ELBE BOUNDARY, BETWEEN NOW  
AND ELECTION IN OCTOBER;

-- B) THE DEMAND RAISED BY MERTES MAY NEVERTHELESS  
COME TO A HEAD AND BONN MAY COME TO THE ALLIES;

-- C) SINCE THE INNER-GERMAN BOUNDARY DOES INVOLVE  
ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES -- REGARDING WHICH WE  
SHOULD NOT BE SEEN TO BE DUBIOUS -- THE ALLIES SHOULD

RESPOND QUICKLY IN POSITIVE WAY TO POSSIBLE FRG REQUEST;  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 01114 02 OF 03 211838Z

-- D) A GOOD COURSE WOULD PERHAPS BE FOR ALLIES  
TO WORK UP STATEMENT ON LINES OF BOISSIEU'S PRESENTATION  
(PARA 5, ABOVE) WHICH THE FRG COULD USE AS EXPRESSION OF

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 01114 03 OF 03 211841Z

53  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 IO-11 SAJ-01 ACDA-05 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01

SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 OMB-01 EB-07 /084 W  
----- 080273

R 211826Z JAN 76  
FM AMEMBASSY BONN  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5856  
INFO USMISSION USBERLIN  
USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS  
AMEMBASSY BERLIN  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMEMBASSY PARIS  
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 03 OF 03 BONN 01114

ALLIED POSITION; AND

-- E) GUIDANCE FROM CAPITALS WOULD BE SOUGHT.

7. COMMENT: THE WORK OF THE BORDER COMMISSION HAS

PROCEEDED SMOOTHLY WITHOUT GREAT PUBLIC INTEREST IN FRG EXCEPT FOR VERY TRICKY ELBE PROBLEM. NOW, HOWEVER, CONFLUENCE OF ELECTION YEAR AND WINDING UP OF COMMISSION TASKS MEANS BIGGER PROBLEMS FOR THE GOVERNMENT THAN OTHERWISE WOULD HAVE BEEN THE CASE: THE OPPOSITION CAN BE EXPECTED TO POUND AWAY AT ANY ACT OF FRG WHICH (A) CAN BE CHARACTERIZED AS GIVING TERRITORY TO THE GDR (AS IN ELBE CASE) OR (B) CAN BE CHARACTERIZED AS UNDERMINING THE PROVISIONAL NATURE OF THE BOUNDARY AND THUS OF THE DIVISION OF GERMANY (SUCH AS AN FRG-GDR TREATY-TYPE AGREEMENT ON THE BOUNDARY MARKING).

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 01114 03 OF 03 211841Z

8. IT SEEMS TO US THAT MERTES' AIM IS NOT REALLY TO GET THE FOUR POWERS INVOLVED -- SINCE HE SURELY KNOWS THIS IS IMPOSSIBLE -- BUT INSTEAD TO MAKE IT POLITICALLY IMPOSSIBLE FOR BONN TO CONCLUDE ANY "TREATY-TYPE" AGREEMENTS WITH THE GDR ON THE COURSE OF THE BOUNDARY (FINAL FRG/GDR APPROVAL ON THE WORK OF THE COMMISSION WILL NOT BE A TREATY). BY DRAWING PUBLIC ATTENTION TO THE BOUNDARY, OF COURSE, HE ALSO MAKES IT MORE DIFFICULT FOR BONN TO CONCLUDE THE DEAL WE UNDERSTAND IT IS SEEKING WITH THE GDR ON THE ELBE: ACCEPT THE BOUNDARY AS THE MIDDLE OF THE RIVER IF EAST BERLIN WILL GIVE GUARANTEES REGARDING COMMERCIAL RIVER TRAFFIC, WATER SPORTS, AND FISHING, AND GIVE AN UNDERTAKING NOT TO USE FIREARMS ON THE ENTIRE WIDTH OF THE RIVER. END COMMENT.

9. ACTION REQUESTED: AUTHORITY TO PROCEED TRIPARTITELY TO DRAFT STATEMENT ON LINES NOTED PARA 5 ABOVE WHICH MIGHT BE USED BY FRG GOVERNMENT. TRIPARTITE DRAFT WOULD BE SUBMITTED TO AUTHORITIES FOR FINAL APPROVAL. HILLENBRAND

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** BERLIN ACCESS, BERLIN QUADRIPARTITE MATTERS, NEGOTIATIONS, BOUNDARY COMMISSIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 21 JAN 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** ShawDG  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976BONN01114  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760023-0141  
**From:** BONN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760136/aaaabfdv.tel  
**Line Count:** 317  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 6  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ShawDG  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 29 MAR 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <29 MAR 2004 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <29 JUL 2004 by ShawDG>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** INNER-GERMAN BOUNDARY -- QUADRIPARTITE RESPONSIBILITIES  
**TAGS:** PFOR, GE, GC, US, UK, FR, UR  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006